

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,199	02/14/2001	Akira Yamaguchi	Q62086	9852
7590 04/26/2004			EXAMINER	
	MION, ZINN, MACPE	NGUYEN, HOAN C		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5 ,			2871	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisor Adis-	09/782,199	YAMAGUCHI, AKIRA		
Advisory Action	Examiner Art Unit		<u> </u>	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress	
HE REPLY FILED 12 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE terefore, further action by the applicant is required all rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe ndition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this a er: (1) a timely filed amendment opeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper replication by the supplication of the suppl	ly to a ation in	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the	mailing date of the final rejection.			
b)	xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under priod of extension and the corresponding the of the shortened statutory period for e Office later than three months after the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory period for the shortened statutory period statutory	mailing date of the final reject FOR THE FINAL REJECTION. 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the apping amount of the fee. The appin reply originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or	
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37				
☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require f	further consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or si	mplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claim	18.	
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):			
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	amendment	
∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		considered but does NC	T place the	
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which wer	e newly	
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amended explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: _

Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-18.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

ROBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reference provides the same structure, which inherently complies with the proof of the claimed formula for maximizing the light amount passing through a light diffusinng plate.

Besides, in the same principle, the examiner has used different approach to prove the claimed formula..